

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/576,049		BECK ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Ginger T. Chapman		3761	

All Participants:

(1) Ginger T. Chapman.

(2) James J. Napoli.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103(a)

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Tsubakimoto (US 4286082)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ginger T Chapman/
Examiner, Art Unit 3761

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone call with Applicants' representative, the features of the hydrophobicized silica, multivalent cation, solidification time and blood absorbance were discussed; authorization was given by Applicants' representative to incorporate the limitations of claim 2 into the body of claim 1; rejoinder of previously restricted process claims with allowable product claim.